

UNITED STATES COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/686,325	10/11/00	GIBLIN		E	C6583(C)
		21122 (2522	\neg	EXAMINER	
000201		QM02/0523		ena ser e	··
UNILEVER				BUI,	
PATENT DEPARTMENT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
45 RIVER R	DAD				
EDGEWATER I	NJ 07020			3754	
				DATE MAILED	:
					05/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/686,325

Applicant(s)

Giblin et al.

Examiner

Thach Bui

Art Unit **3754**



The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed tion.			
Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
	action is non-final.			
_ ,	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>	is/are pending in the applica			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera			
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) X Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>				
•	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem			
-				
Application Papers9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	``,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International But *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)				
15) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
16) XI Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
17) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	20) Other:			

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Applicant's prior art citation filed October 11, 2000 has been received, considered and placed of record.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "38", "40", "46", "48", and "50". Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is vague and indefinite because "an exit" as recited in line 15, appears to be a double inclusion, at least in part, of the "exit aperture".

Art Unit: 3754

Claim 7 is vague and indefinite because the phrase "at least one further product exit aperture". It is unclear. See claim 28 for the same informality.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 7, 9-20, 23-26, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnold et al., in view of Yang.

Arnold et al. teach a container comprising a fitment (see Figure 2) having an outer wall (30). The fitment includes a bottom wall extending inwardly from the bottom of the outer wall (see Figure 2), and a pour spout extending upwardly from the bottom wall (26). The device comprises a bottle body extending upwardly from the bottle base to a bottle finish (see Figure 1). The finish includes an inner wall with a first locking surface, an inwardly extending locking ridge, (48, 50) abutting the outer wall of the fitment. The fitment outer wall and finish inner wall are forming a reservoir entrapping fluid product when the container is inverted. The fitment outer wall comprises a first product exit aperture (28). The fitment bottom wall includes a product drainage aperture (44). The fitment also comprises a generally annular rim (36) (see Figure 4) extending radially outwardly from the top of the outer wall. Arnold et al. lack a first

Art Unit: 3754

finish locking surface having a first channel leading from the reservoir toward an exit from the bottle and a closure. Yang teaches a first finish locking surface having a first channel leading from the reservoir toward an exit from the bottle (172) (see Figure 3), and a closure (10) mating with the outer threads on the finish. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a first finish locking surface having a first channel leading from the reservoir toward an exit from the bottle to either allow air communicating with the bottle or materials exiting from the container, and a closure to ensure products remaining uncontaminated. Further, the first channel as taught by Yang, can be situated so that it will align with the exit aperture taught by Arnold et al. In addition, smaller or larger percentage of the outer wall including the upper half and the lower half compared to the area of the product exit aperture may however, be provided depending on the goods to be dispensed.

7. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over both Arnold et al. and Yang, and further in view of Greenhalgh.

Both Arnold et al. and Yang have all the features of the invention but lack a translucent bottle body. Greenhalgh teaches a bottle body that is transparent (column 1, lines 27-30). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a transparent bottle body to view the level of the materials contained within.

Art Unit: 3754

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 5

Claims 5-6, 8, 21-22, and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base 8.

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 9.

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 10.

should be directed to Thach Bui whose telephone number is (703) 305-0063. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kevin Shaver, can be reached on (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3588.

T.B.

May 9, 2001

7.B 5/9/01

15.184